1 improved business investment. That's what we've done in 2 this case. 3 MR. ABERLEE: And can the intervenors and potentially future rate payers obtain that information? 5 I guess I would direct that to THE WITNESS: 6 legal counsel. I do not know that answer. MS. DUCHENEAUX: At this point we're -- since 7 8 nothing is final with the purchases we are going to go 9 through, we're not going to make that information public. 10 What we're going to do is follow the same procedure as is 11 being followed by the other buyers and that is make the 12 information available to the PUC, and that information will 13 be obtained for them in the same manner. 14 MR. ABERLEE: Okay. As far as determining 15 rates that are going to be charged, would it be fair to say 16 that it's going to be controlled by a number of factors, 17 those being purchase price, the amount which is financed, 18 the interest rate which is going to be charged in order to 19 repay that debt? Is that not correct? 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 MR. ABERLEE: Don't you believe that would be a 22 legitimate concern for rate payers if the sale goes through? 23 THE WITNESS: It's a legitimate concern. 24 MR. ABERLEE: So it should be something that

should be available at least to the Public Utilities

1	Commission and others that are very concerned about the
2	sale, wouldn't you say?
3	THE WITNESS: Yes. And I guess that, for a
4	second time, our company will follow the same conditions as
5	the other companies. If those terms and financial records
6	are requested through the proper channels, we have no
7	problem submitting them to the proper channels.
8	MR. ABERLEE: Okay. What about the plans and
9	feasibility studies? Would those also be available to be
10	analyzed to see what is contained in those?
11	THE WITNESS: Are you asking me who would I
12	submit those to?
13	MR. ABERLEE: No. Would the Cheyenne River
14	Telephone Authority be willing to submit those documents?
15	THE WITNESS: To?
16	MR. ABERLEE: To the Public Utilities
17	Commission or any other interested party that would be
18	requesting the information.
19	THE WITNESS: I think, again, the
20	confidentiality the way request wants are going through,
21	we're going to follow what we're obligated to do. And if
22	they have no problem with that as a group, then we don't
23	either.
24	MR. ABERLEE: So. basically, if the Public

Utilities Commission requests that information, in order to

1 get the sale through, you would provide it? But as soon as 2 the sale is completed, that would be the end of the PUC 3 regulation authority over the telephone authority; correct. as far as the Timber Lake Exchange? 5 THE WITNESS: Correct. And, like I said, we'll 6 work on our MOU, which will be a binding agreement, which 7 provides the protection and security to the people in that 8 exchange. 9 MR. ABERLEE: Does the Cheyenne River Telephone 10 Authority receive a billing to offset some of the costs for 11 the Public Utilities Commission? 12 THE WITNESS: We receive one billing. 13 MR. ABERLEE: You don't receive one every year? 14 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. I receive 15 one billing. And we do not pay that as we're not under the jurisdiction of the South Dakota Public Utilities 16 17 Commission. 18 MR. ABERLEE: Okay. You testified earlier 19 about the history of the Cheyenne River Telephone Authority 20 in about 1956. Would it be safe to say -- And I believe 21 this comes out of the application for certificate of 22 convenience -- necessary and that would have been when you\_\_ 23 purchased West River Telephone; correct? 24 Α Correct.

7 7

At that time I believe the application states that

at present and since about 1956 has owned and operated a
telephone communications system upon and within a territory
of the state of South Dakota known as the Cheyenne River
Indian Reservation. That said telephone system commutes
approximately 218 phone miles, in excess of 200 stations,
that said tribal operations is not considered subject to the
jurisdiction just mentioned. Would that have been an
accurate statement in 1958 or October of 1958 when that was
submitted for that purchase?

THE WITNESS: I don't know how accurate it is.

MR. ABERLEE: And at that time the Cheyenne
River Telephone Authority was purchasing exchanges in Eagle
Butte; correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.

MS. DUCHENEAUX: I'm not sure what the relevance of this line of questioning is. Unless Mr.

Aberlee intends to tie in this history of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Telephone Authority with Owl River's purchase of these exchanges, I would object to it.

MR. ABERLEE: I believe I'll get to that right away.

THE CHAIRMAN: I'll allow it.

MR. ABERLEE: In paragraph number six on page two of that application, it's referring to the exchanges that they were purchasing. And it states that applicant,

25

1 insofar as its telephone operations outside the jurisdiction 2 of the Cheyenne Indian Sioux River Reservation variance, if 3 issued a certificiate of convenience and that is for those 4 three named exchanges, agrees that it is subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission and that it will comply with 5 6 the applicable rules and regulations of this commission. 7 And that was signed on October 16th, 1958, by Anthony A. 8 Rivers as the chairman of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal That has not been followed through, has it? 10 MS. DUCHENEAUX: Mr. Chairman, we haven't had 11 an opportunity to look at the document that he's referring 12 I'm not saying that we don't have it. I have not 13 looked at it. I would again ask that my client not answer 14 this question until the June first and second meeting at Pierre, at which time we will be prepared to answer it. 15 16 THE CHAIRMAN: It seems to me that's a 17 fundamental document, isn't it? 18 MS. DUCHENEAUX: Yes, it probably is. 19 quite sure. I haven't had time to read it or to be prepared 20 to address it. And I will be prepared to address it on the 21 June first and second. 22 THE CHAIRMAN: 23

Well, I'm going to rule that the witness can answer that question since it's something that you can read in the paragraph there. It's his document of I would think you'd be familiar with it, your Charter.

I'm not

therefore, he can answer yes or no whether they follow the reservation or not.

MS. DUCHENEAUX: Mr. Chairman, the document was approved in 19 -- It was signed by a tribal chairman in 1958. Now, we have had subsequent enactments by the tribal council that may supersede this. Ordinance 24 was not enacted until 1978.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is that the heart of your question, Mr. Aberlee?

MR. ABERLEE: No, it isn't the heart of my question. Is that in 1958 the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe agreed that with regard to the purchase of those three exchanges, that they would comply with the rules and regulations of the Cheyenne -- of the Public Utilities

Commission. And Mr. Williams is here today telling you that they're not subject to the rules and regulations of the Public Utilities Commission with regard to those exchanges.

MS. DUCHENEAUX: Number six says that
applicant, insofar as its telephone operation, is outside
the jurisdiction of the Cheyenne River Sioux Indian
Reservation. A certificate of convenience and necessity
agrees that it is subject to the jurisdiction of this

Commission, which is essentially what Mr. Williams testified
to. That for those exchanges outside the jurisdiction of
the Cheyenne River Sioux Reservation, the PUC can regulate.

1	
1	Mr. Chairman, again, I would like an
2	opportunity to review this document and be prepared to
3	answer that and perhaps that will assist you in making a
4	decision.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Are you prepared to answer this
6	more definitively on June first and second?
7	THE WITNESS: I feel we will be.
8	THE CHAIRMAN: That's satisfactory, Mr.
9	Aberlee?
10	MR. ABERLEE: With regard to collection of tax
11	revenues in the Mc Excuse me, the Morristown area, that
12	would be on the Standing Rock Indian Reservation; correct?
13	THE WITNESS: Correct.
14	MR. ABERLEE: And there potentially would be
15	legal issues whether or not another tribe could be taxed on
16	another Indian reservation; correct?
17	THE WITNESS: Correct.
18	MR. ABERLEE: And even though you are here
19	today saying that the Owl River Telephone Corporation
20	intends to be paying gross receipts tax in Morristown, that
21	could easily change, couldn't it?
22	THE WITNESS: Very possibly so. We may have to
23	pay the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe gross receipts tax to them
24	on the portion that they control through the exchange.

 ${\tt MR.}$  ABERLEE: But it may also change that you

	·
1	would no longer pay a gross receipts tax to the state of
2	South Dakota, which would go on to fund education in Corson
3	County; isn't that correct?
4	THE WITNESS: In a portion of Morristown
5	Exchange it falls outside of Indian country, we have to pay
6	gross receipts sales tax, which is approximately a third of
7	the Morristown Exchange area.
8	MR. ABERLEE: But it would be your position
9	that the portion of the Morristown Exchange in South Dakota
10	is entirely within Indian country; correct?
11	THE WITNESS: Correct.
12	MR. ABERLEE: And, therefore, not subject to
13	the gross receipts tax?
14	THE WITNESS: Correct.
15	MR. ABERLEE: Okay. So that money would be
16	lost for education in Corson County as well?
17	THE WITNESS: A portion could be if the state
18	so deems it to work up an agreement to collect from members
19	of the nonIndians that portion would be recoverable.
20	MR. ABERLEE: And there may be questions on the
21	collection of real estate taxes or other taxes in Nisland
22	that may arise at some point in time; correct?
23	THE WITNESS: Not according to the memorandum
24	of law that we have researched. We owe it as gross receipts

taxes as any other cooperative would owe.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the main thing, we've got the MR. ABERLEE: possibility of at least two lawsuits looming in the horizon, and those are, number one, the collection of gross receipts tax in the Timber Lake Exchange where you say absent some type of collection agreement, it is not going to be paid because there's no enforcement mechanism, even though the Supreme Court has said that can be collected.

Then in Corson County there is a possibility of a second lawsuit involving the taxing jurisdiction of Corson County in the state of South Dakota relating to the Owl River Telephone Corporation being within Indian country again; correct?

> THE WITNESS: Could be. Could be also.

MS. DUCHENEAUX: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to advise my client that he is not to answer that. We have not discussed the potential lawsuits that may arise out of any of these purchases. And Mr. Williams is not in the position to answer that. As Mr. Aberlee, said the Supreme Court said these taxes can be collected, but they didn't provide the states with the mechanism for collection. That's where the Sioux River Tribe will likely enter into whatever agreements that are necessary that do not compromise the sovereign jurisdiction to collect taxes and regulate.

I think that, again, these issues could be better reserved and presented to the Commission so that they

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have more information on which to base a decision. Aberlee is talking about the collection of taxes that the Supreme Court said are permissable only. And unless he's prepared to state that as state's attorney for Dewey County that he's going to file suit to collect these taxes, then I don't think that the issue is relevant at this point. THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have a response? MR. ABERLEE: Okay. I believe Mr. Williams was one that testified from the written statement as to the position of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe on these taxes. I believe that it is fiar for me to cross-examine Mr. Williams on those. If he does not know the answer, I believe simply state, "I do not know the answer," at which time I can proceed on.

If Miss Lawrence has any questions to something she would like to ask Mr. Williams to follow up with, she's certainly welcome to do that. But at this point in time I believe it's been interruption after interruption in the questions I have been asking Mr. Williams.

MS. DUCHENEAUX: Mr. Chairman, the reason for that is Mr. Williams does not have the expertise with which to answer these questions. I'm going to advise him to state that he cannot answer them and we can move forward.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then that's all he needs to say.

These are proper questions under the purview of the

Commission. And it's the responsibility of Senate Bill 240 as one of the proper topics of determining the interest of These are questions of facts this Commission is going to get to one way or another in order to make a determination. If your witness chooses not to be responsive, then the Commission will take that into consideration.

if they're truly questions you cannot answer, that's all you need to say and we'll go on.

MR. ABERLEE: With regard to these possible lawsuits, if Owl River is sued in these collection efforts, where would that money come from to fund that lawsuit?

THE WITNESS: If Owl River was sued by one of the counties or both, where would that money come from?

MR. ABERLEE: Or the state of South Dakota.

THE WITNESS: Probably come from the company. But the point of that that you bring up possible lawsuits from the counties, I'll make it very clear there is an issue here that those taxes collected by the counties for 30 years and so the shoe can go on the other foot.

MR. ABERLEE: I don't believe that that was one of the considerations in the Senate bill. In any event, if it would be funded out of Owl River, basically you would wind up with a group of rate payers who would be footing the bill for the lawsuit, who have no representation on that

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

.14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	council, and who have had to in many cases foot the bill for
2	the lost taxes. So they're losing all the way around.
3	They're losing the taxes, they're funding the lawsuit, and
4	they don't have anything to say about it. Would that be a
5	fair assessment in that situation?
6	THE WITNESS: The lawsuit from would not be
7	beneficial to our company and to the counties. So that
8	would be a good reason not to do it.
9	MR. ABERLEE: Well, you said if it comes from
10	Owl River it wouldn't be affecting your company, would it?
11	THE WITNESS: It would be affecting The
12	companies would be affecting the customer himself.
13	MR. ABERLEE: I guess I'm going to quit at this
14	time.
15	THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Who's next here? Oh, Mr.
16	Watson, do you have any questions of this witness?
17	MR. WATSON: No, sir.
18	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hertz, do you have any?
19	MR. HERTZ: No, Commissioner.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Macy?
21	MR. MACY: No.
22	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Todd?
23	MR. TODD: No, sir.
24	THE CHAIRMAN: Any questions, Mr. Fergel?
25	MR. FERGEL: Yes. Mr. Williams, does the CRST

1	own any exchanges between the Morristown Exchange and your
2	base operations plant?
3	THE WITNESS: The Morristown and Isabel
4	exchanges touches on the north exchange. I said the north
5	part of our Isabel Exchange touches the corner of the
6	Morristown Exchange.
7	MR. FERGEL: And what type of EAS service do
8	you intend to offer the Morristown Exchange?
9	THE WITNESS: We intend to leave it as exactly
10	as it is in, and, of course, dependent upon the corporation
11	from the surrounding purchasing company of, I believe,
12	McIntosh and Lemmon. And we'd have to work an agreement up
13	with, I believe, it's West River Bison.
14	MR. FERGEL: So, in fact, if the purchase of
15	the Morristown Exchange was approved and CRST did obtain
16	that, there is no guarantee except for the two years that
17	the EAS service will be in place?
18	THE WITNESS: There is no guarantee other than
19	I stated the fact we have no plans to change that.
20	MR. FERGEL: Have you talked to the other two
21	exchanges where there's current EAS service and made some
22	type of an agreement, whether informal or informal,
23	concerning the continuation of said EAS service if the sales
24	are approved?
25	THE WITNESS: Informally we visited about it.

	, ·
1	As far as a formal document being in place or, you know, the
2	purchase hasn't been made and the sale hasn't been approved.
3	So to let time take place here, it will probably remain
4	informal until that point.
5	MR. FERGEL: You testified earlier that you
6	have EAS service between your exchanges that you currently
7	own now. Is any of that he EAS service provided between
8	different companies? Do you have agreements now with other
9	companies, telephone companies, to provide EAS service or is
10	the EAS service that is provided strictly between exchanges
11	that the CRST currently owns?
12	THE WITNESS: All EAS service we have now is
13	all internal.
14	MR. FERGEL: So you do not have any current
15	agreements with outside companies to provide EAS service?
16	THE WITNESS: Correct.
17	MR. FERGEL: Could you explain why that is?
18	THE WITNESS: Well, I think a lot of companies
19	especially out in the rural areas there's a lot of
20	distance involved. Your trade centers go to one area. And
21	so your exchanges probably have the tendency to be set up
22	that way much like Nolan West comes into the reservation and
23	all of those customers with the underground cable was being

25 So those people all call locally to Faith to do

put in late 1970's designated Faith as their trader.

their business, where the customers on the edge of that area do business back into our area. So they have to call Dupree back into our company and they call EAS back into Eagle I think that's probably why that's happened.

MR. FERGEL: You mentioned that you own an exchange that touches with Morristown, with the Morristown Is there any reason why there is not EAS exchange area. service between that exchange and some other exchanges within Corson County, and have you tried to initiate or provide such EAS service with other companies?

I guess we have not just for the THE WITNESS: sense these towns are located ten, twenty miles from each other and we haven't had those requests. Mainly, we talked about Isabel, which you have just mentioned. They have their own services within their community that are separate from, let's say, Timber Lake. And, you know, they're a further distance from McIntosh or McLaughlin, so there's probably a distance factor involved in there.

MR. FERGEL: One of the points to be considered in whether to allow the sale is whether or not said sale would promote economic development. How do you propose or to promote economic development in the Morristown Exchange in Corson County?

THE WITNESS: I guess that happens in many ways with a small company involved in rural America and a lot of

24

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

these rural towns. Sometimes it's in very small ways, but it all adds to the total picture. And I use this as an example: We just recently bought seven service vehicle this year from the dealer in Eagle Butte and Isabel. We have no dealerships. And we put them out for bids. And out of an area the dealer was \$3,000 cheaper on the total bid package. The board said these folks do business with us. There's a need for service in that type of development. Let's forgo that \$3,000 savings and do business with local people. I think that continues on.

We offer scholarships to the valedictorians of all high schools we service. And we also support advertising to many of the civic organizations. I think that's things that a bigger company such as U.S. West that rural companies really have missed is that personal in-town relationship. I think that's what we will promote as all the other purchasing companies in this associate group.

We have the need to be involved in the civic organizations, support the youth, 95th percent of our people in our telephone company are Eagle Butte graduates. So, you know, just local employment, I think, is a real high key. They know the customer and they are able to interact in many different ways. And I think that's what we are -- we will offer to the areas we're proposing to purchase.

MR. FERGEL: Do you intend to employ anyone

from	the	Morristown	Exchange	area?
		***************************************		

THE WITNESS: We haven't went out and did any recruitment yet. We had a local job in our company at CRST Telephone. I know when we advertised we had about three people from that area call. And so, you know, those are things that we have to look at as people get along with local people. And maybe there is somebody in your area that wants to come back that's working for a telephone communications company someplace that will be ideal for our business structure. And, you know, that's an option for us to look at.

MR. FERGEL: You testified earlier that CRST and their subsidiary company plan to pay gross receipts tax on the Morristown Exchange and that portion of the Timber Lake Exchange located in Corson County since it is not located within the exterior boundaries of the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MR. FERGEL: You also said that you would be subject to the oversight of the regulation of the PUC in those exchanges; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: In the areas outside of the Indian country, correct.

MR. FERGEL: But Corson County is fully located within that exterior boundaries of the Standing Rock Sioux

1

2

Tribe so, in effect, you wouldn't be subject to the authority of the PUC. Now, as I understand it, there's THE WITNESS: a certain portion of the Morristown Exchange that falls within the Standing Rock Reservation. Right. All the portion that is MR. FERGEL: located in the state of South Dakota falls within the Standing Rock Sioux Reservation. So you're saying that on that portion the company would not be subject to the regulation of the PUC? THE WITNESS: Right. MR. FERGEL: But it would be subject to the payment of gross receipts tax? reservation. MR. FERGEL:

THE WITNESS: On the portion outside of that

So what you're saying, then, the way I understood it before, you said that you were going to pay gross receipts tax on that portion in the South Dakota Morristown Exchange and in that portion of the Timber Lake exchange located within Corson County, which is on the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe, but now you're saying that isn't the case?

THE WITNESS: No. I think there is some confusion here. What I originally testified and what I do mean is we will pay gross receipts sales tax on all income

25

2 Standing Rock Sioux Tribe and Cheyenne River Sue Tribe. 3 MR. FERGEL: You will not be paying gross receipts tax on any portion of the exchanges located in Corson County? 5 THE WITNESS: Anything that falls within that 6 7 Standing Rock or Cheyenne River Sioux Tribal Reservation we 8 will not pay gross receipt sales tax at this time. 9 MR. FERGEL: And, therefore, you will not be 10 subject to regulation by the PUC on that same area? 11 THE WITNESS: Correct. 12 MR. FERGEL: Since there are no citizens or 13 members located in Corson County of your tribe or have 14 physical residence there, in effect, none of the people in 15 the Morristown Exchange citizens, whether they be members of 16 the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe or not, will have a voice in 17 the regulation of your company. No one will be able to vote 18 on the councilmen for the Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe who 19 would, in effect, appoint the directors of the company? 20 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 So if I understand you correct, MR. FERGEL: 22 the Corson County people who use your services, if the sale 23 is approved, would have no say in the run of the business, 24 or in the election of directors. The CRST would not pay any

gross receipts tax, and the CRST would not be subject to the

generated outside the reservation boundaries and not in the

1 PUC's regulation and oversight in all of that portion of 2 property located in Corson County?

> THE WITNESS: Correct.

MR. FERGEL: Do you have any plans to give the members of the Morristown Exchange or those members of the Timber Lake Exchange located in Corson County any type of voice in the company or in the way it's run?

THE WITNESS: Well, in the daily operation, no, other than customer complaints, customer suggestions to make it a better business, in which we run our business that way now, responsive to customer needs just through one-on-one contact.

As far as the customers being a member of the board or having voting control of policy, you know, from the board's position, they will not have that opportunity. hope to develop the MOU and present it to the PUC so that people in the Morristown and Timber Lake have a stance of security that this is how we go about if we're subjected to a rate increase, we have procedure to register a complaint with the PUC and with the company and have a hearing or whatever that procedure may be. But we're trying to develop a procedure that people have a method of coming back and addressing any complaints.

MR. FERGEL: What procedures do you have now for the exchanges you currently operate to address the

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

## complaints?

THE WITNESS: Customers are, number one, like any company, goes to management. They can go to the board.

And we've had people go to Public Utilities Commission, register complaints, and they bring it back to us. And we, as a utility service organization, we try to get out and get a resolution to wherever that complaint comes from.

MR. FERGEL: But basically if there is a complaint and the consumer -- telephone consumer goes through the process and you come up with a decision, -- or the board makes a decision that is not favorable or in the eyes of the consumer or the complainant, they have nowhere else to go. I mean, what you say goes.

THE WITNESS: Correct. I want the point made very well it is documented -- the PUC staff can attest to that -- that we are a very heads-up company. That we attack the problem and get it corrected for the customer because we view it as a very valuable asset to our company and, you know, that's the way that we handle it.

MR. FERGEL: Do you consider the community as a whole a valuable asset to your company?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MR. FERGEL: Then what's the objection to paying the gross receipts tax to help fund education and other community funded mandates by either the state or

federal government for the education of children, for fire protection, for police protection, and all those other activities?

I covered that in three years. THE WITNESS: We are civic minded. We believe to bring that to Morristown and Timber Lake Communities. As I said in the testimony, our civic responsibility is we hold back none. It helps out organizations such as the fire departments, putting that reinvestment back there to help those health and emergency services, as we've done and our communities have demonstrated.

Number two, knowing that education of the community has asked us to put fiberoptic cable to the facilities to provide infrastructure for future technology such as interactive video, we've done that ourselves and it's a major investment. We put fiberoptic ductwork from ... our central office to the edge of town, which is approximately half a mile. Unfortunately, that may be five years down the road.

But investment is now because we believe in the reality at some point. And so we're putting profits of the company back to address the needs of the future. whether it's children, or data transmission for business, or economic development projects that may come down. involved with committing and doing things like that, and I

800-626-6313 APER & MFG. CO. WCSR . LASER REPORT 1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

think that's what we'll be doing in other communities.

MR. FERGEL: But there's no guarantee it will happen. And if they do not happen, the members of the Morristown Exchange and Timber Lake Exchange will have no recourse to influence a decision by the CRST to do those types of things.

THE WITNESS: Correct. I want history to be a strong voice in this. That we put technological digital switches in '86 through that period where the communities of Morristown and Timber Lake just now received that technology. It's an eight to ten-year span. The reinvestment has been made into those communities. And I think that's where the small telephone companies that are a part of this group, which I'm proud to say that CRST have dealt with the same philosophies. The board will carry that over so you are not in eight or ten-year lag. You're ready for tomorrow.

MR. FERGEL: What about the \$9,824.36 that
Morristown Exchange will lose in tax revenue that the county
can use to fund various programs or the portion of the
\$26,189 that's located outside of the corporate payments
that the county could use to fund various programs? Do you
think your investment or possible investment in the
community will match that amount of lost tax revenue year
after year?

1	THE WITNESS: It may well. There's no
2	quarantees to that.
3	MR. FERGEL: No guarantees. I have no further
4	questions.
5	THE CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hoshek?
6	MR. HOSHEK: No questions from the staff,
7	Commissioners.
8	MR. BURG: I have two quick ones. One is, is
9	there any method by which a nontribal member could become on
10	the board of directors of the CRST?
11	THE WITNESS: We have had non-members on the
12	board before. And the way it's done is it's kind of an open
13	process that people can apply and submit applications to the
14	tribal council or be nominated in these districts. Since
15	I've been there from '82, no nonIndian has been on the
16	board.
17	MR. BURG: But is there anything that prohibits
18	that?
19	THE WITNESS: No.
20	MR. BURG: So a nontribal member would have an
21	opportunity to attempt to be seated on the board; is that
22	correct?
23	THE WITNESS: Correct.
24	MR. BURG: The other question is do you charge
25	all the CRST members the same basic telephone rate?

1	THE WITNESS: COITECT.
2	MR. BURG: Will you charge all the Owl River,
3	Incorporated, members the same telephone rate?
4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	MR. BURG: Or at least they'll be charged the
6	same rate as they're paying now?
7	THE WITNESS: That's our plan, not to change
8	that rate base, you know. Once again, we just formed the
9	company since December 7th and taken over, so its rate
10	policy and that have not been We have been working to get
11	to this point but, you know, the discussion in the board is
12	that everything will remain the same with no need to change.
13	The feasibility study that we've done is based on ways that
14	are in place at this point.
15	MR. BURG: Is your intent to do the same thing
16	with Owl River as with the other companies once you are paid
17	off to incorporate one CRST, or don't you know that yet?
18	THE WITNESS: Don't know that yet.
19	MR. BURG: That's all the questions I have.
20	THE CHAIRMAN: Any redirect, Miss Ducheneaux?
21	MS. DUCHENEAUX: I guess I would like J.D. to
22	clarify one thing. The question was asked by Mr. Aberlee
23	concerning whether or not the Owl River would be willing to
24	provide how it plans to repay any monies that it borrows to
25	fund this purchase. And I would like, if he wants to